TO: USPTO

Appl. No. 10/676,959 Amdt. Dated October 12, 2005 Reply to Office action of September 27, 2005

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application. No. :

10/676,959

Confirmation No. 8132

Applicant

Daoqiang Lu

Filed

September 30, 2003

TC/A.U.

1725

Examiner

Kevin P. Kerns

Docket No.

042390.17603

Customer No.

8791

Commissioner for Patents

PO Box 1450

Alexandria VA 22313-1450

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:

In the Office Action mailed September 27, 2005, the Examiner contends that Applicant claims two distinct inventions; namely, Group I (claims 1-20) drawn to methods, classified in class 228, subclasses 207 and 223, and Group  $\Pi$  (claims 21-30) drawn to a system, classified in class 228, subclass 33. Thus, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 121, the Examiner requires Applicant to restrict the application to one of the alleged two inventions.

In compliance with 35 U.S.C. §121, Applicant elects Group I (claims 1-20).

Appl. No. 10/676,959 Amdt. Dated October 12, 2005 Reply to Office action of September 27, 2005

## Conclusion

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Dated: October 12, 2005

Thinh W Nguyen

Rcg. No. 42,034

Tel.: (714) 557-3800 (Pacific Coast)

12400 Wilshire Boulevard, Seventh Floor Los Angeles, California 90025

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.8A)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:

**MAILING** 

FACSIMILE

(7) deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date: October 12, 2005

I transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office.

October 12, 2005

Date